



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

127

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/799,266 | 03/11/2004 | Tsao-fang Chang | JOHN-02 | 7200 |

7590 06/16/2005
J.C. Patents
4 Venture, Suite 250
Irvine, CA 92618

EXAMINER

DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3611

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/799,266 | CHANG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Cassandra Davis | 3611 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6, 8-12 and 17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 7 and 13-16 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
2. On page 4, line 7, the applicant refers to the monitoring device as 302 and on line 11 as 330.
3. On page 9, line 4, the applicant use reference numeral 330 to identify the cap.
4. The applicant identifies the frames with reference numeral 310 (page 5, line 17) and 300 (page 11, line 7).
5. On page 11, line 11, it appear as if the phrase "boundary 360" should read "boundary 306).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2),

and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cunnien, US Patent Application Publication 2005/0093684.

8. With respect to claims 1, 9 and 17, Cunnien teaches frame assembly for a license plate having a first pair of holes comprising a frame having a shape substantially the same as the boundary of the license plate, wherein the frame 34 has a second hole 40 surrounding the monitoring device 44, two extending pieces 32 respectively having third holes (not labeled), wherein the third holes have a second distance therebetween, and wherein the second distance is substantially the same as the first distance between

Art Unit: 3611

the first holes of the license plate, and two bolts (not labeled) for fixing the frame on the license plate through the third holes and the first holes.

9. With respect to claim 2, the frame taught by Cunnien has a shape of a rectangular.

10. With respect to claim 4, Cunnien teaches a first piece (rear of base frame 20) touching the rear of the vehicle.

With respect to claims 5, 6, 10, 11, 12 Cunnien teaches a second piece (peripheral member of base frame) and a third piece (peripheral member of cover frame 34). See figure 5. The peripheral members of the base and cover frame are sealed at 43. Regarding claim 10, the peripheral members correspond to the spacer.

11. Claim 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McMahon et al., US Patent Application Publication 2004/0032321. McMahon teaches frame assembly for a license plate having a first pair of holes comprising a frame 110 having a bar shape substantially the same as the boundary of the license plate, wherein the frame 110 has a second hole 126 on the cover 122 surrounding the monitoring device 12, two extending pieces respectively having third holes 118a, wherein the third holes have a second distance therebetween, and

Art Unit: 3611

wherein the second distance is substantially the same as the first distance between the first holes of the license plate, and two bolts (not shown) for fixing the frame on the license plate through the third holes and the first holes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cunnien. Since the applicant does not disclose that constructing the frame of a plastic cover metal material solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, it appears that constructing the frame of a plastic material as taught by Cunnien would perform equally well in framing the license plate as well and securely holding the monitoring device.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 7 and 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show license plate frame with monitoring devices: FR 2 735 734; EP 1 380 470; and US Pub No. 2003/0182829.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Cassandra Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

CD

June 11, 2005